

Correction of exam 2014/2015

Exercise 1 We recall the ODE

$$(t^2 + 1)\hat{y}'(t) = t\hat{y}(t)^2 - t. \quad (1)$$

1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (t^2 + 1)\hat{y}'(t) = t\hat{y}(t)^2 - t &\Leftrightarrow (t^2 + 1)\hat{y}'(t) = t(\hat{y}(t)^2 - 1) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (t^2 + 1)\hat{y}'(t) = t(\hat{y}(t) - 1)(\hat{y}(t) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since a constant solution \hat{y} satisfies $\hat{y}' = 0$, we obtain that $t \mapsto -1$ **and** $t \mapsto 1$ **are two constant solutions of (1).**

2. To prove the existence of the solution, we write the ODE (1) on the form

$$\hat{y}'(t) = f(t, \hat{y}(t))$$

for some function f . Since for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t^2 + 1 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t^2 + 1)\hat{y}'(t) = t\hat{y}(t)^2 - t &\Leftrightarrow \hat{y}'(t) = \frac{t}{t^2 + 1}(\hat{y}(t)^2 - 1) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{y}'(t) = \frac{t}{t^2 + 1}\hat{y}(t)^2 - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{y}'(t) = f(t, \hat{y}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(t, y) = \frac{t}{t^2 + 1}y^2 - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1}.$$

Since $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class \mathcal{C}^1 with respect to (t, y) , the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem gives us **the existence of a unique maximal solution** $\hat{y} \in \mathcal{C}^1(J)$ **where** $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ **satisfying** $0 \in J$ **and** $\hat{y}(0) = 0$.

3. We now prove that $J = \mathbb{R}$. By using Proposition 2.3 of the lecture notes, it is sufficient to prove that \hat{y} is bounded on J . We will prove that for all $t \in J$, $\hat{y}(t) \in]-1, 1[$ by contradiction.

Assume that there exists $t_0 \in J$ such that $\hat{y}(t_0) \notin]-1, 1[$. There are two cases : $\hat{y}(t_0) \geq 1$ or $\hat{y}(t_0) \leq -1$. We begin with the case $\hat{y}(t_0) \geq 1$.

If $\hat{y}(t_0) \geq 1$. Since $\hat{y}(0) = 0$, $\hat{y}(t_0) > 1$ and \hat{y} is continuous on J , the intermediate values theorem (T.V.I.) gives us that there exists $t_1 \in J$ between 0 and t_0 such that

$$\hat{y}(t_1) = 1.$$

Then, \hat{y} is the maximal solution of (1) such that $\hat{y}(t_1) = 1$. However, we proved in Question 1) that $t \mapsto 1$ is a constant solution of (1) defined on \mathbb{R} . Its value on t_1 is also 1. The unicity of the maximal solution (Cauchy Lipschitz theorem) implies that

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \hat{y}(t) = 1$$

which contradicts the fact that $\hat{y}(0) = 0$. Then, we obtain that for all $t \in J$, $\hat{y}(t) < 1$.

We use the same method for the case $\hat{y}(t_0) \leq -1$, we obtain that for all $t \in J$, $\hat{y}(t) > -1$.

Then, for all $t \in J$, $\hat{y}(t) \in]-1, 1[$ and the maximal solution \hat{y} is bounded over the interval J . **We deduce from Proposition 2.3 of the lecture notes that** $J = \mathbb{R}$.

4. By setting $\hat{z} = \hat{y} - 1$, we have $\hat{z}' = \hat{y}'$. We can write (1) as

$$\begin{aligned}(t^2 + 1)\hat{y}'(t) = t\hat{y}(t)^2 - t &\Leftrightarrow (t^2 + 1)\hat{z}'(t) = t(\hat{z}(t) + 1)^2 - t \\ &\Leftrightarrow (t^2 + 1)\hat{z}'(t) = t(\hat{z}(t)^2 + 2\hat{z}(t) + 1) - t \\ &\Leftrightarrow (t^2 + 1)\hat{z}'(t) = t(\hat{z}(t)^2 + 2\hat{z}(t)).\end{aligned}$$

5. By setting $\hat{w} = \frac{1}{\hat{z}}$, we have $\hat{z}' = -\frac{\hat{w}'}{\hat{w}^2}$. Since for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\hat{y}(t) \in]-1, 1[$, the function $\hat{z} = \hat{y} - 1$ can not vanish and the function \hat{w} is well defined on \mathbb{R} . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(t^2 + 1)\hat{z}'(t) = t(\hat{z}(t)^2 + 2\hat{z}(t)) &\Leftrightarrow (t^2 + 1)\left(-\frac{\hat{w}'(t)}{\hat{w}(t)^2}\right) = t\left(\left(\frac{1}{\hat{w}(t)}\right)^2 + 2\frac{1}{\hat{w}(t)}\right) \\ &\Leftrightarrow -(t^2 + 1)\hat{w}'(t) = t(1 + 2\hat{w}(t)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{w}'(t) = -\frac{t}{t^2 + 1} - \frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}\hat{w}(t) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{w}'(t) = -\frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}\hat{w}(t) - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1}.\end{aligned}$$

6. The general solution \hat{w} of the ODE

$$\hat{w}'(t) = -\frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}\hat{w}(t) - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1} \quad (2)$$

is on the form

$$\hat{w} = \hat{w}_1 + \hat{w}_2$$

where \hat{w}_1 is the general solution of the homogenous equation

$$\hat{w}'(t) = -\frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}\hat{w}(t)$$

and \hat{w}_2 is a particular solution of (2). We firstly look for the general solution \hat{w}_1 of the homogenous equation. The general solution is on the form

$$\hat{w}_1(t) = C \exp(A(t))$$

where A is a primitive function of $t \mapsto -\frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}$ is on the form $\frac{u'}{u}$, we obtain that $t \mapsto -\ln(t^2 + 1)$ is a primitive function of $t \mapsto -\frac{2t}{t^2 + 1}$ defined on \mathbb{R} . Then, the general solution of the homogenous equation is

$$\hat{w}_1(t) = C \exp(-\ln(t^2 + 1)) = \frac{C}{t^2 + 1}, \quad C \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We now look for a particular solution of (2). We directly see that the constant function $\hat{w}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ is a constant particular solution of (2). We could also found a particular solution with the variation of parameters method.

Then, the general solution of the ODE (2) is

$$\hat{w}(t) = \hat{w}_1(t) + \hat{w}_2(t) = \frac{C}{t^2 + 1} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad C \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The initial condition $\hat{w}(0) = -1$ implies that $C = -\frac{1}{2}$. Then, the solution of (2) satisfying $\hat{w}(0) = -1$ is

$$\hat{w}(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{t^2 + 1} + 1\right) = -\frac{t^2 + 2}{2(t^2 + 1)}$$

7. Since $\hat{y}(0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{w}(0) = -1$, the solution \hat{y} of ODE (1) with initial condition $\hat{y}(0) = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y}(t) &= \hat{z}(t) + 1 = \frac{1}{\hat{w}(t)} + 1 \\ &= -\frac{2(t^2 + 1)}{t^2 + 2} + 1 = -\frac{t^2}{t^2 + 2}.\end{aligned}$$

8. A numerical scheme is a method whose purpose is to compute y_n which is an approximation of $\hat{y}(t^n)$. The explicit Euler scheme is given by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta t f(t_n, y_n).$$

In this particular case, we have

$$f(t, y) = \frac{t}{t^2 + 1} y^2 - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1}.$$

Then, the explicit Euler scheme is given by $y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta t \left(\frac{t_n}{t_n^2 + 1} y_n^2 - \frac{t_n}{t_n^2 + 1} \right)$.

9. The implicit Euler scheme is given by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta t f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}),$$

where

$$f(t, y) = \frac{t}{t^2 + 1} y^2 - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1}.$$

Then, the implicit Euler scheme is given by $y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta t \left(\frac{t_{n+1}}{t_{n+1}^2 + 1} y_{n+1}^2 - \frac{t_{n+1}}{t_{n+1}^2 + 1} \right)$.

Exercise 2 We aim at solving the autonomous (i.e. f does not depend on t) ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \hat{y}'(t) = f(\hat{y}(t)), \\ \hat{y}(0) = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We assume that f is of class $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$.

1. Since $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class \mathcal{C}^1 with respect to y , the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem gives us **the existence of a unique maximal solution** $\hat{y} \in \mathcal{C}^1(J)$ **where** $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ **satisfying** $0 \in J$ **and** $\hat{y}(0) = \frac{1}{2}$.

For the regularity of the solution \hat{y} , we use the Proposition 2.1 p. 24 of the lecture notes. Since f is of class \mathcal{C}^2 , the maximal solution \hat{y} is of class \mathcal{C}^3 on his intervall definition $J \subset \mathbb{R}$.

2. (a) If $f(y) = 1$, the ODE (3) becomes

$$\hat{y}'(t) = 1.$$

The general solution of this ODE is

$$\hat{y}(t) = t + C, \quad C \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\hat{y}(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, we have $C = \frac{1}{2}$. Then, the solution of the ODE with initial condition $\hat{y}(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ is

$$\hat{y}(t) = t + \frac{1}{2}.$$

(b) If $f(y) = 10y$, the ODE (3) becomes

$$\hat{y}'(t) = 10y(t).$$

The general solution of this ODE is

$$\hat{y}(t) = C e^{10t}, \quad C \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\hat{y}(0) = \frac{1}{2}$, we have $C = \frac{1}{2}$. Then, the solution of the ODE with initial condition $\hat{y}(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ is

$$\hat{y}(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{10t}.$$

3. In the general case, we cannot provide an explicit expression. That is why we aim at constructing approximate values of the solution at some points. More precisely, we fix some integer $N \geq 2$ and we set

$$\forall n \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket, t^n = (n-1)\Delta t \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta t = \frac{3}{N-1}.$$

A numerical scheme is a method whose purpose is to compute y_n which is an approximation of $\hat{y}(t^n)$.

(a) In the general case, we have $y_n \neq \hat{y}(t^n)$. But we have to bear in mind that $y_n \approx \hat{y}(t^n)$.

(b) We have

$$t^1 = (1-1)\Delta t = 0,$$

$$t^2 = (2-1)\Delta t = \Delta t,$$

$$t^N = (N-1)\Delta t = (N-1) \frac{3}{N-1} = 3.$$

(c) i. In this case, the Euler explicit scheme can be written as $y_{n+1} = y_n + \Delta t f(y_n)$.

ii. **We need only one value to initialize the sequence** because it is a one step scheme.

iii. The algorithm is the following:

Algorithm 1 Resolution of ODE (3) with a Euler explicit scheme

Data: N

$$\Delta x = \frac{3}{N-1}$$

$y = []$;

$y(1) = 1/2$;

for i from 1 to $N-1$

$y(i+1) = y(i) + \Delta t f(y(i))$;

end

iv. **The order of the explicit Euler scheme is one.**

(d) We are interesting in the multi-step scheme

$$z_{n+3} - z_{n+1} = \Delta t \left(\frac{7}{3} f(z_{n+2}) - \frac{2}{3} f(z_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} f(z_n) \right). \quad (4)$$

i. Scheme (4) is a 3-step scheme (see Definition 2.9 in the lecture notes) characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= 0, & \alpha_1 &= -1, & \alpha_2 &= 0, & \alpha_3 &= 1 \\ \beta_0 &= \frac{1}{3}, & \beta_1 &= -\frac{2}{3}, & \beta_2 &= \frac{7}{3}, & \beta_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

According to Prop. 2.6, this scheme is consistent if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^3 \alpha_k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^3 k\alpha_k = \sum_{k=0}^3 \beta_k.$$

We easily check that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^3 \alpha_k &= 0 - 1 + 0 + 1 = 0 \\ \sum_{k=0}^3 k \alpha_k &= 0 \times 0 + 1 \times (-1) + 2 \times 0 + 3 \times 1 = 2, \\ \sum_{k=0}^3 \beta_k &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3} + \frac{7}{3} = \frac{6}{3} = 2 = \sum_{k=0}^3 k \alpha_k.\end{aligned}$$

Then, **the scheme is consistent**.

- ii. The Dahlquist statement (Prop. 2.7) ensures that the Scheme (4) is stable if the polynomial $\rho(x) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} \alpha_k x^k$ has only roots of modulo less than 1 and if its roots of modulus 1 are simple. Here, we have

$$\rho(x) = x^4 - x^2 = x^2(x^2 - 1) = x^2(x-1)(x+1)$$

whose roots are $-1, 0$ and 1 where -1 and 1 are single roots. Then, **Scheme (4) is stable**.

- iii. Stability and consistency imply the **convergence of the scheme** due to the Lax–Richtmyer theorem (Th. 2.2). The fact that a scheme is convergent means that if we denote by (y_n) the numerical solution and by \hat{y} the exact solution, then $\hat{y}(t^n) - y_n$ goes to 0 as Δt goes to 0: the more Δt decreases, the more accurate the numerical solution (close to the exact solution). The scheme is convergent if

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|y_n - \hat{y}(t^n)\| = 0$$

- iv. We can write Scheme (4) as

$$z_{n+3} = z_{n+1} + \Delta t \left(\frac{7}{3} f(z_{n+2}) - \frac{2}{3} f(z_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} f(z_n) \right).$$

Thus, given z_n, z_{n+1} and z_{n+2} we can directly compute z_{n+3} . **The scheme is explicit**.

- v. We apply Prop. 2.8 and assess each $i \geq 2$ until the relation in Prop. 2.8 is not satisfied:

- $i = 2$: $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} k^2 \alpha_k = 0 \times 0 + 1 \times (-1) + 4 \times 0 + 9 \times 1 = 8 = 2 \times 4 = 2 \left(0 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{-2}{3} + 2 \times \frac{7}{3} + 3 \times 0 \right) = 2 \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} k \beta_k$. The scheme is at least of order 2.
- $i = 3$: $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} k^3 \alpha_k = 0 \times 0 + 1 \times (-1) + 8 \times 0 + 27 \times 1 = 26 = 26 \times \frac{26}{3} = 3 \left(0 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{-2}{3} + 4 \times \frac{7}{3} + 9 \times 0 \right) = 3 \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} k^2 \beta_k$. The scheme is at least of order 3.
- $i = 4$: $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} k^4 \alpha_k = 0 \times 0 + 1 \times (-1) + 16 \times 0 + 91 \times 1 = 80 \neq 72 = 4 \times 18 = 4 \left(0 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 \times \frac{-2}{3} + 8 \times \frac{7}{3} + 27 \times 0 \right) = 4 \sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} k^3 \beta_k$. **The scheme is exactly of order 3.**

- vi. As Scheme (4) is a 3-step scheme, we need **three initializing values** (to compute z_4 , we need to know z_3, z_2 and z_1).

As z_1 must be an approximation of $\hat{y}(t^1) = \hat{y}(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ according to (3), we choose $\boxed{z_1 = \frac{1}{2}}$. We now tackle the computation of $z_2 \approx \hat{y}(t^2) = \hat{y}(\Delta t)$ which we do not know. As Scheme (4) is of order 3, we must choose a value which is accurate at order 3, that is to say $z_2 = \hat{y}(\Delta t) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3)$. To do so, we perform a Taylor expansion (Prop. 1.17):

$$\hat{y}(\Delta t) = \hat{y}(0) + \Delta t \hat{y}'(0) + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \hat{y}''(0) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t^3).$$

$\hat{y}'(0)$ is deduce from ODE (??) and from the initial condition: $\hat{y}'(0) = f(\hat{y}(0)) = f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Likewise, to compute $\hat{y}''(0)$, we differentiate ODE (??) once to obtain

$$\hat{y}''(t) = \frac{d}{dt} [f(\hat{y}(t))] = \hat{y}'(t) \frac{df}{dy}(\hat{y}(t)) = \hat{y}'(t) f'(\hat{y}(t)) = f(\hat{y}(t)) f'(\hat{y}(t))$$

Hence we set $z_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \Delta t f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \times f'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \frac{\Delta t^2}{2}$. We use the same method for z_3 , we obtain $z_3 = \frac{1}{2} + (2\Delta t) f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \times f'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \frac{(2\Delta t)^2}{2}$.

vii. The algorithm is the following:

Algorithm 2 Resolution of ODE (3) with Scheme (4)

```
Data: N
Δx = 3 / (N-1)
z=[];
z(1)=1/2;
z(2)=expression given by the previous question;
z(3)=expression given by the previous question;
for i from 1 to N-3
z(i+3) = z(i+1) + Δt (7/3 f(z(i+2)) - 2/3 f(z(i+1)) + 1/3 f(z(i)));
end
```

viii. The Euler explicit scheme is a first order scheme and Scheme (4) is a third order scheme. Both schemes are explicit then from a computational point of view there are comparable. Thus **Schemes (4) is preferable** because it is more accurate.

ix. If $f(y) = 1$, the relation (4) becomes

$$z_{n+3} - z_{n+1} = \Delta t \left(\frac{7}{3} \times 1 - \frac{2}{3} \times 1 + \frac{1}{3} \times 1 \right) = 2\Delta t.$$

Since $f(y) = 1$, we have $f'(y) = 0$ and then, the Question 3(d)vi implies that

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad z_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \Delta t + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} z_{n+2} = z_n + 2\Delta t \\ z_1 = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

then $\forall n \geq 1, z_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2} + n \times 2\Delta t$. We have

$$\begin{cases} z_{n+2} = z_n + 2\Delta t \\ z_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \Delta t + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \end{cases}$$

then $\forall n \geq 1, z_{2n} = \frac{1}{2} + \Delta t + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} + (n-1) \times 2\Delta t$.

Exercise 3 We consider the PDE : Find ψ such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}(t, x) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = 0 & \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times [0, 10] & \text{(PDE)} \\ \psi(t, 0) = 0 & \forall t \in [0, T] & \text{(boundary condition in } x = 0) \\ \psi(t, 10) = 1 & \forall t \in [0, T] & \text{(boundary condition in } x = 10) \\ \psi(0, x) = \frac{x^2}{100} & \forall x \in [0, 10] & \text{(initial condition in } t = 0). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

1. We have $t_1 = 0, \quad t_{N_t} = T, \quad x_1 = 0, \quad x_{N_x} = 10.$

2. The explicit Euler scheme writes

$$\frac{\psi_j^{n+1} - \psi_j^n}{\Delta t} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(\frac{\psi_{j+1}^n - 2\psi_j^n + \psi_{j-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) = 0.$$

3. Adding discrete analogue of initial and boundary conditions (5) leads to the scheme

$$\begin{cases} \psi_j^{n+1} = \psi_j^n + \Delta t \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(\frac{\psi_{j+1}^n - 2\psi_j^n + \psi_{j-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) & 2 \leq j \leq N_x - 1, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N_t - 1 & \text{(Euler explicit scheme)} \\ \psi_1^n = 0 & 2 \leq n \leq N_t & \text{(boundary condition in } x = 0) \\ \psi_{N_x}^n = 1 & 2 \leq n \leq N_t & \text{(boundary condition in } x = 10) \\ \psi_j^1 = \frac{x_j^2}{100} & 1 \leq j \leq N_x & \text{(initial condition in } t = 0) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Remark : for the sake of stability N_x and N_t must be chosen such that $\Delta \theta \leq \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{\sigma^2}$.

4. The implicit Euler scheme writes for $2 \leq j \leq N_x - 1, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N_t - 1$:

$$\frac{\psi_j^{n+1} - \psi_j^n}{\Delta t} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(\frac{\psi_{j+1}^{n+1} - 2\psi_j^{n+1} + \psi_{j-1}^{n+1}}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) = 0.$$

or equivalently

$$\psi_j^{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} \right) - \frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{2(\Delta x)^2} \psi_{j+1}^{n+1} - \frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{2(\Delta x)^2} \psi_{j-1}^{n+1} = \psi_j^n \quad 2 \leq j \leq N_x - 1$$

Initial and boundary conditions are the same as in (6). We have

$$\forall j \in \llbracket 1, N_x \rrbracket, \quad \psi_j^1 = \frac{x_j^2}{100}.$$

and

$$\psi_1^{n+1} = 0, \quad \psi_{N_x}^{n+1} = 1.$$

Then, to use this scheme one need to solve a linear system of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -\frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} & 1 + \frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} & -\frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} & 1 + \frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} & -\frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} & 1 + \frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} & -\frac{\Delta t \sigma^2}{(\Delta x)^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1^{n+1} \\ \psi_2^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ \psi_j^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{N_x-1}^{n+1} \\ \psi_{N_x}^{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1^n \\ \psi_2^n \\ \vdots \\ \psi_j^n \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{N_x-1}^n \\ \psi_{N_x}^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first line and the last line correspond to the boundary condition while other line correspond to the Euler explicit scheme.