## Quantitative Risk Analysis

Master of Financial Engineering - M2 Intermediate Exam - Duration : 1 hour Jung Jonathan



## No documents - <u>You can use a calculator</u>

Please report clear and detailed answers explicitly, as well as all the formulas you use and all the theorems you invoke.

## Problem 1 :

1) Give the mathematical definition of  $VaR_{\alpha}$  and explain its meaning. Solution : We have

$$VaR_{\alpha}(X) = \min \{g : P(X \le g) \ge \alpha\}.$$

This is the maximal loss at confidence level  $\alpha$ . In other words, there is a probability less than  $1 - \alpha$  that the loss be larger than  $VaR_{\alpha}(X)$ .

2) Give the mathematical definition of  $\text{CVaR}_{\alpha}$  and explain its meaning. Solution : In the continuous case, we have

$$CVaR_{\alpha}(X) = E(X|X \ge VaR_{\alpha}) = \frac{E(X\mathbf{1}_{[VaR_{\alpha}(X), +\infty[}(X)))}{P(X \ge VaR_{\alpha}(X))}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{VaR_{\alpha}(X)}^{+\infty} zf(z)dz$$

because  $P(X \ge VaR_{\alpha}(X)) = 1 - \alpha$ . Then,  $CVaR_{\alpha}(X)$  is the average loss above  $VaR_{\alpha}(X)$ . By remarking that

$$z = VaR_{\gamma}(X) \Leftrightarrow \gamma = P(X \le z),$$

we can change the variable z into  $\gamma \left(\frac{d\gamma}{dz} = \frac{d}{dz}P(X \le z) = f(z)\right)$  and write  $CVaR_{\alpha}(x)$  as

$$CVaR_{\alpha}(X) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{VaR_{\alpha}(X)}^{+\infty} zf(z)dz = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{1} VaR_{\gamma}(X)d\gamma.$$

The  $CVaR_{\alpha}$  can also be seen as the average of the  $VaR_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in [\alpha, 1]$ .

**Problem 2**: We consider an investment for which the price at time t = 0 is 100 euros and the value at time T > 0 is a random variable V. The density of the random variable V is given by :

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(V=80)=0.01,\\ P(V=90)=0.03,\\ P(V=95)=0.26,\\ P(V=100)=0.30,\\ P(V=105)=0.10,\\ P(V=106)=0.30. \end{array} \right.$ 

Write the loss X at time T as a function of V.
 Solution : We have

$$X = 100 - V.$$

- 2) Give the density of the random variable X.Solution : The density of the random variable X is given by :
  - $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(X=100-80=20)=0.01,\\ P(X=100-90=10)=0.03,\\ P(X=100-95=5)=0.26,\\ P(X=100-100=0)=0.30,\\ P(X=100-105=-5)=0.10,\\ P(X=100-106=-6)=0.30. \end{array} \right.$

3) Compute VaR<sub>0.9</sub>(X). Explain your method.Solution : We have

$$P(X \le 20) = 1 \ge 0.95.$$

We also have

$$P(X \le 10) = 1 - P(X = 20) = 1 - 0.01 = 0.99 \ge 0.95$$

and

$$P(X \le 5) = 1 - 0.01 - 0.03 = 0.96 \ge 0.95$$

and

$$P(X \le 0) = 1 - 0.01 - 0.03 - 0.26 = 0.96 - 0.26 = 0.7 < 0.95$$

then

$$VaR_{0.95}(X) = 5.$$

4) What is the biggest value  $\alpha$  such that  $\operatorname{VaR}_{\alpha}(X) = 0$ ? Solution : We have

$$P(X \le 0) = 0.3 + 0.3 + 0.1 = 0.7$$

then the biggest  $\alpha$  such that  $\operatorname{VaR}_{\alpha}(X) = 0$  is 0.7.

**Problem 3**: Consider two independent securities  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ . At time t = 0, these two securities cost 20 euros. At time t = T, the value of security i = 2 is exponentially distributed with an average of 20 euros. At time t = T the value of security i = 1 is 22 with a probability of 90% and 15 with a probability of 10%. We recall that the density of the exponential distribution with average  $\mu$  is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}}, & \text{if } x \ge 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Give the density of the first security i = 1.
 Solution : We have

$$P(Y_1 = 22) = 0.9$$
 and  $P(Y_1 = 15) = 0.1$ .

2) Compute  $VaR_{0.95}$  for the loss at time t = T of security i = 1. Solution : The loss  $X_1$  at time t = T of the security i = 1 is given by

$$X_1 = 20 - Y_1$$

and its density is

$$P(X_1 = 20 - 22 = -2) = 0.9$$
 and  $P(X_1 = 20 - 15 = 5) = 0.1$ .

We have

$$P(X_1 \le -2) = 0.9 < 0.95$$
 and  $P(X_1 \le 5) = 1 \ge 0.95$ 

and then

$$VaR_{0.95}(X_1) = 5.$$

3) Compute the cumulative function of an exponential random variable with average  $\mu$ . Solution : The cumulative  $F_2$  is given for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F_{2}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t)dt$$
  
= 
$$\begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{x} 0dt = 0, & \text{if } x \le 0, \\ \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\frac{t}{\mu}} dt = \left[-e^{-\frac{t}{\mu}}\right]_{0}^{x} = 1 - e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}}, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

4) Compute  $VaR_{0.95}$  for the loss at time t = T of security i = 2. Solution : The loss at a time t = T os security i = 2 is

$$X_2 = 20 - Y_2$$

where  $Y_2$  is an exponential law. We look for  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$P(X_{2} \leq g) \geq 0.95 \Leftrightarrow P(20 - Y_{2} \leq g) \geq 0.95$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow P(Y_{2} \geq 20 - g) \geq 0.95 \Leftrightarrow 1 - P(Y_{2} \leq 20 - g) \geq 0.95$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 - F_{2}(20 - g) \geq 0.95 \Leftrightarrow 1 - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{20 - g}{\mu}}\right) \geq 0.95$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow e^{-\frac{20 - g}{\mu}} \geq 0.95 \Leftrightarrow -\frac{20 - g}{\mu} \geq \ln(0.95) \Leftrightarrow \frac{g - 20}{\mu} \geq \ln(0.95)$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow g \geq \mu \ln(0.95) + 20 \approx 18.97.$$

Then,

$$VaR_{0.95}(X_2) = 18.97.$$

5) Compute the 0.95 - VaR at time t = T of a portfolio made up of these two securities. Solution : We look for  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$P(X_1 + X_2 \le g) \ge 0.95.$$

Since,  $X_1$  takes only the values -2 or 5, we have for all  $g \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$P(X_1 + X_2 \le g) = P\left(\left[\{X_1 + X_2 \le g\} \cap \{X_1 = -2\}\right] \cup \left[\{X_1 + X_2 \le g\} \cap \{X_1 = 5\}\right]\right)$$
$$= P\left(\{X_1 + X_2 \le g\} \cap \{X_1 = -2\}\right)$$
$$+ P\left(\{X_1 + X_2 \le g\} \cap \{X_1 = 5\}\right)$$

because the sets are disjointed. Moreover, we have for all  $g \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$P(X_1 + X_2 \le g) = P(\{X_1 + X_2 \le g\} \cap \{X_1 = -2\}) + P(\{X_1 + X_2 \le g\} \cap \{X_1 = 5\})$$
  
=  $P(\{X_2 \le g + 2\} \cap \{X_1 = -2\}) + P(\{X_2 \le g - 5\} \cap \{X_1 = 5\})$   
=  $P(X_2 \le g + 2) \times P(X_1 = -2) + P(X_2 \le g - 5) \times P(X_1 = 5)$ 

because  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent. Then, we obtain for all  $g \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$P(X_1 + X_2 \le g) = 0.9 \times P(X_2 \le g + 2) + 0.1 \times P(X_2 \le g - 5)$$
  
= 0.9 \times P(20 - Y\_2 \le g + 2) + 0.1 \times P(20 - Y\_2 \le g - 5)  
= 0.9 \times P(Y\_2 \ge 18 - g) + 0.1 \times P(Y\_2 \ge 25 - g)  
= 0.9 \times (1 - F\_2(18 - g)) + 0.1 \times (1 - F\_2(25 - g)).

We look for  $g \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$P(X_1 + X_2 \le g) \ge 0.95 \Leftrightarrow 1 - 0.9 \times F_2(18 - g) - 0.1 \times F_2(25 - g) \ge 0.95$$
$$\Leftrightarrow 0.9 \times F_2(18 - g) + 0.1 \times F_2(25 - g) \le 0.05$$

That, it seams natural to firstly try to found  $g \leq 18$  because

$$g \mapsto 0.9 \times F_2(18 - g) + 0.1 \times F_2(25 - g)$$

is an decreasing function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to [0,1]. If  $g \leq 18$ , we have

$$\begin{split} P(X_1 + X_2 \leq g) \geq 0.95 \Leftrightarrow 0.9 \times F_2(18 - g) + 0.1 \times F_2(25 - g) \leq 0.05 \\ \Leftrightarrow 0.9 \left(1 - e^{-\frac{18 - g}{\mu}}\right) + 0.1 \left(1 - e^{-\frac{25 - g}{\mu}}\right) \leq 0.05 \\ \Leftrightarrow 1 - 0.9e^{-\frac{18 - g}{\mu}} - 0.1e^{-\frac{25 - g}{\mu}} \leq 0.05 \\ \Leftrightarrow 0.9e^{-\frac{18 - g}{\mu}} + 0.1e^{-\frac{25 - g}{\mu}} \geq 0.95 \\ \Leftrightarrow \left(0.9e^{-\frac{18}{\mu}} + 0.1e^{-\frac{25}{\mu}}\right) e^{\frac{g}{\mu}} \geq 0.95 \Leftrightarrow e^{\frac{g}{\mu}} \geq \frac{0.95}{0.9e^{-\frac{18}{\mu}} + 0.1e^{-\frac{25}{\mu}}} \\ \Leftrightarrow \frac{g}{\mu} \geq \ln\left(\frac{0.95}{0.9e^{-\frac{18}{\mu}} + 0.1e^{-\frac{25}{\mu}}}\right) \\ \Leftrightarrow g \geq \mu \ln\left(\frac{0.95}{0.9e^{-\frac{18}{\mu}} + 0.1e^{-\frac{25}{\mu}}}\right) \approx 17.57(<18). \end{split}$$

Then,

$$VaR_{0.95}(X_1 + X_2) = 17.57.$$

6) Give the definition of a sub-additive risk measure? Can VaR be a sub-additive variable? Solution : Let X and Y be the loss at time T of two portfolios. We say that the risk measure  $\rho$  satisfies the sub-additivity property if

$$\rho(X+Y) \le \rho(X) + \rho(Y).$$

In our case, we have

$$VaR_{0.95}(X_1 + X_2) = 17.57 < 23.95 = 5 + 18.95 = VaR_{0.95}(X_1) + VaR_{0.95}(X_2)$$

then the VaR could be sub-addivitive. However, you saw in some examples of the course that VaR is not a sub-additive risk measure.